

**VOLUME II:  
SHERIDAN COUNTY**

NEBRASKA PROFILE

**Please visit the Nebraska State Profile Dashboard:**

**<http://www.NEstats.org>**

**For and online version of this profile with many additional features including:**

- **Mapping**
- **Interactive Charts and Tables**
- **Data Downloads**
- **Interactive Long Read**
- **Interactive Tour**
- **Jurisdiction to Jurisdiction Comparison**
- **Download Additional Reports**
- **And More**

## Sheridan County

### DEMOGRAPHICS

#### Population Estimates

The Census Bureau's current census estimates indicate that Sheridan County's population decreased from 5,469 in 2010 to 5,234 in 2016, or by 4.3 percent. This compares to a statewide population change of 4.4 percent over the period. The number of people from 25 to 34 years of age decreased by 7.8 percent, and the number of people from 55 to 64 years of age decreased by 3.2 percent. The white population decreased by 5.4 percent, while the black population increased by 66.7 percent. The Hispanic population increased from 171 to 255 people between 2010 and 2016 or by 49.1 percent. These data are presented in Table II.81.1.


<b>Table II.81.1</b>						
<b>Profile of Population Characteristics</b>						
Sheridan County vs. State of Nebraska						
2010 Census and 2016 Current Census Estimates						
Subject	Sheridan County			Nebraska		
	2010 Census	Jul-16	% Change	2010 Census	Jul-16	% Change
<b>Population</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>5,234</b>	<b>-4.3%</b>	<b>1,826,341</b>	<b>1,907,116</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
<b>Age</b>						
0 to 14 years	1,056	948	-10.2%	383,542	396,601	3.4%
15 to 24 years	533	565	6%	258,206	269,442	4.4%
25 to 34 years	513	473	-7.8%	245,176	252,946	3.2%
35 to 44 years	562	550	-2.1%	220,838	230,528	4.4%
45 to 54 years	741	597	-19.4%	258,726	229,683	-11.2%
55 to 64 years	852	825	-3.2%	213,176	241,172	13.1%
65 and Over	1,212	1,276	5.3%	246,677	286,744	16.2%
<b>Race</b>						
White	4,649	4,399	-5.4%	1,649,264	1,694,976	2.8%
Black	12	20	66.7%	85,971	94,620	10.1%
American Indian and Alaskan Native	625	577	-7.7%	23,418	27,318	16.7%
Asian	18	23	27.8%	33,322	47,282	41.9%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	10	13	30%	2,061	2,425	17.7%
Two or more races	155	202	30.3%	32,305	40,495	25.4%
<b>Ethnicity (of any race)</b>						
Hispanic or Latino	171	255	49.1%	167,405	203,320	21.5%

Table II.81.2, presents the population of Sheridan County by age and gender from the 2010 Census and 2016 current census estimates. The 2010 Census count showed a total of 2,654 males, who accounted for 48.5 percent of the population, and the remaining 51.5 percent, or 2,815 persons, were female. In 2016, the number of males was 2,571 persons, and accounted for 49.1 percent of the population, with the remaining 50.9 percent, or 2,663 persons being female.



<b>Table II.81.2</b> <b>Population by Age and Gender</b> Sheridan County 2010 Census and Current Census Estimates							
Age	2010 Census			2016 Current Census Estimates			% Change 10-16
	Male	Female	Total	Male	Female	Total	
0 to 14 years	542	514	1,056	481	467	948	-10.2%
15 to 24 years	265	268	533	299	266	565	6%
25 to 34 years	269	244	513	227	246	473	-7.8%
35 to 44 years	267	295	562	285	265	550	-2.1%
45 to 54 years	365	376	741	319	278	597	-19.4%
55 to 64 years	426	426	852	376	449	825	-3.2%
65 and Over	520	692	1,212	584	692	1,276	-4.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,654</b>	<b>2,815</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>2,571</b>	<b>2,663</b>	<b>5,234</b>	<b>-4.3%</b>
<b>% of Total</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>51.5%</b>	.	<b>49.1%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	.	

**Diagram II.81.1**  
**Age Distribution**  
 Sheridan County  
 Nebraska DOT Data: 2008 – First Half 2017



### Population Migration Trends

Total population change is a combination of births, deaths, and the net migration of those arriving in and leaving the state. The result of births minus deaths is termed the “natural increase.” As calculated from data shown in Table II.81.3, from April 2000 to July 2009, Sheridan County natural decrease was estimated to be 105 people. Sheridan County has been experiencing net out-migration, with 829 persons leaving the county in the last nine years.<sup>131</sup> The 2016 population estimates showed a natural decrease of 79 persons. Between 2010 and 2016, Sheridan County’s population decreased to 5,234 persons.

The Nebraska Department of Transportation (DOT)’s Department of Motor Vehicles provides another source of information about migration trends. These data represent the net of driver’s license exchanges: licenses issued to new residents of Nebraska and licenses surrendered to other states when Nebraska residents relocate to a new state. Known as the driver’s license exchange data, these records show that the net change in Sheridan County increased from 6 persons in 2015 to 39 persons in 2016, with an additional net movement of -1 in the first six months of 2017. The driver’s license total exchanges for the last 16 years are presented in Table II.81.4.

<b>Table II.81.3</b>	
<b>Population Change</b>	
Sheridan County	
1980–2010 Census and Intercensal Data	
<b>1980 Population</b>	<b>7,544</b>
Natural Increase 80–90	246
Net Migration 80–90	-1,040
<b>1990 Population</b>	<b>6,750</b>
Natural Increase 90–00	-111
Net Migration 90–00	-441
<b>2000 Population</b>	<b>6,198</b>
Natural Increase 00–09	-105
Net Migration 00–09	-829
2009 Population Estimate	5,264
<b>2010 Population</b>	<b>5,469</b>
Natural Increase 10–16	-79
Net Migration 10–16	-156
<b>2016 Population Estimate</b>	<b>5,234</b>

<b>Table II.81.4</b>			
<b>Driver's Licenses Exchanged and Surrendered</b>			
Sheridan County			
2001–First half of 2017 DOT Data			
<b>Year</b>	<b>In-Migrants</b>	<b>Out-Migrants</b>	<b>Net Change</b>
Calendar 2001	128	129	-1
Calendar 2002	117	129	-12
Calendar 2003	107	113	-6
Calendar 2004	101	94	7
Calendar 2005	90	103	-13
Calendar 2006	106	103	3
Calendar 2007	108	121	-13
Calendar 2008	118	105	13
Calendar 2009	98	88	10
Calendar 2010	177	111	66
Calendar 2011	90	83	7
Calendar 2012	123	79	44
Calendar 2013	104	85	19
Calendar 2014	123	79	44
Calendar 2015	96	90	6
Calendar 2016	113	74	39
First Half of 2017	44	45	-1

<sup>131</sup> Net migration includes a residual, a change the Census Bureau has not attributed to any cause.

### School Age Enrollment

According to the Nebraska Department of Education (DOE), the total number of school-age children in Sheridan County decreased by 2.1 percent from 866 in 2016 to 848 in 2017, as shown in Table II.81.5. The number of school-age children 5 to 11 years of age decreased from 410 in 2016 to 392 in 2017.

### Census Demographic Data

In the 1980, 1990, and 2000 decennial censuses, the Census Bureau released several tabulations in addition to the full SF1 100 percent count data including the one-in-six SF3 sample. These additional samples, such as the SF3, asked supplementary questions regarding income and household attributes that were not asked in the 100 percent count. In the 2010 decennial census, the Census Bureau did not collect additional sample data, such as the SF3, and thus many important housing and income concepts are not available in the 2010 Census.

To study these important concepts the Census Bureau distributes the American Community Survey every year to a sample of the population and quantifies the results as one-, three- and five-year averages. The one-year sample only includes responses from the year the survey was implemented, while the five-year sample includes responses over a five-year period. Since the five-year estimates include more responses, the estimates can be tabulated down to the Census tract level, and considered more robust than the one or three year sample estimates.

Year	Age Group			Total
	5–11	11–13	14–18	
1992	775	233	520	1,528
1993	762	242	526	1,530
1994	742	265	439	1,446
1995	690	256	566	1,512
1996	652	252	571	1,475
1997	578	235	502	1,315
1998	645	228	628	1,501
1999	555	201	567	1,323
2000	572	200	550	1,322
2001	552	196	399	1,147
2002	527	190	430	1,147
2003	519	177	417	1,113
2004	505	139	404	1,048
2005	495	154	389	1,038
2006	482	149	398	1,029
2007	417	137	370	924
2008	434	137	349	920
2009	480	139	343	962
2010	519	138	323	980
2011	517	122	337	976
2012	512	142	319	973
2013	520	154	321	995
2014	429	139	314	882
2015	421	142	339	902
2016	410	132	324	866
2017	392	131	325	848

### Population Characteristics

Table II.81.6, shows population by age for the 2000 and 2010 Census. The population changed by -11.8 percent overall between 2000 and 2010. Various age cohorts changed at different rates. The elderly population, or persons aged 65 or older, changed by -9.8 percent to a total of 1,212 persons in 2010. Those aged 25 to 34 changed by -11.7 percent, and those aged under 5 changed by -8.6 percent.

<b>Table II.81.6</b>					
<b>Population by Age</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Age	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00-10
	Population	% of Total	Population	% of Total	
Under 5	359	5.8%	328	6%	-8.6%
5 to 19	1,376	22.2%	1,049	19.2%	-23.8%
20 to 24	237	3.8%	212	3.9%	-10.5%
25 to 34	581	9.4%	513	9.4%	-11.7%
35 to 54	1,694	27.3%	1,303	23.8%	-23.1%
55 to 64	608	9.8%	852	15.6%	40.1%
65 or Older	1,343	21.7%	1,212	22.2%	-9.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,198</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-11.8%</b>

The elderly population is further explored in Table II.81.7. Those aged 65 to 66 changed by -12.4 percent between 2000 and 2010, resulting in a population of 120 persons. Those aged 85 or older changed by 15 percent during the same time period, and resulted in 238 persons over age 85 in 2010.

<b>Table II.81.7</b>					
<b>Elderly Population by Age</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Age	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00-10
	Population	% of Total	Population	% of Total	
65 to 66	137	10.2%	120	9.9%	-12.4%
67 to 69	186	13.8%	160	13.2%	-14%
70 to 74	293	21.8%	253	20.9%	-13.7%
75 to 79	282	21%	252	20.8%	-10.6%
80 to 84	238	17.7%	189	15.6%	-20.6%
85 or Older	207	15.4%	238	19.6%	15%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,343</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,212</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-9.8%</b>

Population by race and ethnicity is shown in Table II.81.8 representing 84.6 percent of the white population in 2010. The black population changed by 140 percent, representing 0.2 percent of the population in 2010. The American Indian and Asian populations represented 11.3 and 0.3 percent, respectively, in 2010. As for ethnicity, the Hispanic population changed by 87.9 percent between 2000 and 2010, compared to the -13.2 percent growth rate for non-Hispanics.

<b>Table II.81.8</b>					
<b>Population by Race and Ethnicity</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Race	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00-10
	Population	% of Total	Population	% of Total	
White	5,461	88.1%	4,629	84.6%	-15.2%
Black	5	0.1%	12	0.2%	140%
American Indian	572	9.2%	619	11.3%	8.2%
Asian	9	0.1%	18	0.3%	100%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1	0%	5	0.1%	400%
Other	21	0.3%	24	0.4%	14.3%
Two or More Races	129	2.1%	162	3%	25.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,198</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-11.8%</b>
<b>Hispanic</b>	91	1.5%	171	3.1%	87.9%
<b>Non-Hispanic</b>	6,107	98.5%	5,298	96.9%	-13.2%

Population by race and ethnicity through 2016 is shown in Table II.81.9. The white population represented 83.7 percent of the population in 2016, compared with the black population accounting for 0.5 percent of the population. Hispanic population represented 4.3 percent of the population in 2016.

<b>Table II.81.9</b>				
<b>Population by Race and Ethnicity</b>				
Sheridan County				
2010 Census & 2016 Five-Year ACS				
Race	2010 Census		2016 Five-Year ACS	
	Population	% of Total	Population	% of Total
White	4,629	84.6%	4,402	83.7%
Black	12	0.2%	26	0.5%
American Indian	619	11.3%	452	8.6%
Asian	18	0.3%	36	0.7%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	5	0.1%	3	0.1%
Other	24	0.4%	23	0.4%
Two or More Races	162	3%	317	6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,259</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Non-Hispanic</b>	<b>5,298</b>	<b>96.9%</b>	<b>5,031</b>	<b>95.7%</b>
<b>Hispanic</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>3.1%</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>4.3%</b>

The population by race is broken down further by ethnicity in Table II.81.10. While the white non-Hispanic population changed by -16 percent between 2000 and 2010, the white Hispanic population changed by 125.8 percent. The black non-Hispanic population changed by 300 percent, while the black Hispanic population changed by -100 percent.

<b>Table II.81.10</b>					
<b>Population by Race and Ethnicity</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census Data					
Race	2000		2010 Census		% Change 00 - 10
	Population	% of Total	Population	% of Total	
<b>Non-Hispanic</b>					
White	5,430	88.9%	4,559	86.1%	-16%
Black	3	0%	12	0.2%	300%
American Indian	554	9.1%	562	10.6%	1.4%
Asian	7	0.1%	18	0.3%	157.1%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0	0%	2	0%	%
Other	5	0.1%	0	0%	-100%
Two or More Races	108	1.8%	145	2.7%	34.3%
<b>Total Non-Hispanic</b>	<b>6,107</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,298</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-13.2%</b>
<b>Hispanic</b>					
White	31	34.1%	70	40.9%	125.8%
Black	2	2.2%	0	0%	-100%
American Indian	18	19.8%	57	33.3%	216.7%
Asian	2	2.2%	0	0%	-100%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1	1.1%	3	1.8%	200%
Other	16	17.6%	24	14%	50%
Two or More Races	21	23.1%	17	9.9%	-19%
<b>Total Hispanic</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>87.9%</b>
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>6,198</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-11.8%</b>





The change in race and ethnicity between 2010 and 2016 is shown in Table II.81.11. During this time, the total non-Hispanic population was 5,031 persons in 2016. The Hispanic population was 228.

<b>Table II.81.11</b>				
<b>Population by Race and Ethnicity</b>				
Sheridan County				
2010 Census & 2016 Five-Year ACS				
<b>Race</b>	<b>2010 Census</b>		<b>2016 Five-Year ACS</b>	
	<b>Population</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
<b>Non-Hispanic</b>				
White	4,559	86.1%	4,341	86.3%
Black	12	0.2%	26	0.5%
American Indian	562	10.6%	405	8.1%
Asian	18	0.3%	36	0.7%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	2	0%	3	0.1%
Other	0	0%	0	0%
Two or More Races	145	2.7%	220	4.4%
<b>Total Non-Hispanic</b>	<b>5,298</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,031</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Hispanic</b>				
White	70	40.9%	61	26.8%
Black	0	0%	0	0%
American Indian	57	33.3%	47	20.6%
Asian	0	0%	0	0%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	3	1.8%	0	0%
Other	24	14%	23	10.1%
Two or More Races	17	9.9%	97	42.5%
<b>Total Hispanic</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Total Population</b>	<b>5,469</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,259</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Households by type and tenure are shown in Table II.81.12. Family households represented 63.3 percent of households, while non-family households accounted for 36.7 percent. These changed from 63.1 and 36.9 percent, respectively.

<b>Table II.81.12</b>				
<b>Household Type by Tenure</b>				
Sheridan County				
2010 Census SF1 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data				
<b>Household Type</b>	<b>2010 Census</b>		<b>2016 Five-Year ACS</b>	
	<b>Households</b>	<b>Households</b>	<b>Households</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Family Households	1,501	63.1%	1,410	63.3%
Married-Couple Family	1,230	81.9%	1,127	79.9%
Owner-Occupied	981	79.8%	923	81.9%
Renter-Occupied	249	20.2%	204	18.1%
Other Family	271	18.1%	283	19.2%
Male Householder, No Spouse Present	83	30.6%	77	29.3%
Owner-Occupied	43	51.8%	50	64.9%
Renter-Occupied	40	48.2%	27	35.1%
Female Householder, No Spouse Present	188	69.4%	206	66.4%
Owner-Occupied	108	57.4%	115	55.8%
Renter-Occupied	80	42.6%	91	44.2%
Non-Family Households	879	36.9%	817	36.7%
Owner-Occupied	519	59%	500	61.2%
Renter-Occupied	360	41%	317	38.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,380</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,227</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



The group quarters population was 113 in 2010, compared to 136 in 2000. Institutionalized populations experienced a -29.8 percent change between 2000 and 2010. Non-institutionalized populations experienced a 320 percent change during this same time period.

<b>Table II.81.13</b>					
<b>Group Quarters Population</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Group Quarters Type	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00-10
	Population	% of Total	Population	% of Total	
<b>Institutionalized</b>					
Correctional Institutions	21	16%	8	8.7%	-61.9%
Juvenile Facilities	.	.	0	0%	.
Nursing Homes	106	80.9%	84	91.3%	-20.8%
Other Institutions	4	3.1%	0	0%	-100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-29.8%</b>
<b>Noninstitutionalized</b>					
College Dormitories	0	0%	0	0%	%
Military Quarters	0	0%	0	0%	%
Other Noninstitutionalized	5	100%	21	100%	320%
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>320%</b>
<b>Group Quarters Population</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-16.9%</b>

The number of foreign born persons are shown in Table II.81.14. An estimated 0.4 percent of the population was born in Philippines with 0.2 percent born in Canada and another 0.1 percent were born in Mexico.

<b>Table II.81.14</b>			
<b>Place of Birth for the Foreign-Born Population</b>			
Sheridan County			
2016 Five-Year ACS			
Number	County	Number of Person	Percent of Total Population
#1 country of origin	Philippines	23	0.4%
#2 country of origin	Canada	10	0.2%
#3 country of origin	Mexico	6	0.1%
#4 country of origin	Russia	5	0.1%
#5 country of origin	Bangladesh	4	0.1%
#6 country of origin	Korea	3	0.1%
#7 country of origin	Indonesia	2	0%
#8 country of origin	Afghanistan	0	0%
#9 country of origin	Africa n.e.c	0	0%
#10 country of origin	Albania	0	0%

Limited English Proficiency and the language spoken at home are shown in Table II.81.15. An estimated 0.5 percent of the population speaks Spanish at home, followed by 0.3 percent speaking Tagalog.

<b>Table II.81.15</b> <b>Limited English Proficiency and Language Spoken at Home</b> Sheridan County 2016 Five-Year ACS			
Number	County	Number of Person	Percent of Total Population
#1 LEP Language	Spanish	26	0.5%
#2 LEP Language	Tagalog	16	0.3%
#3 LEP Language	Korean	3	0.1%
#4 LEP Language	Russian, Polish, or other Slavic languages	2	0%
#5 LEP Language	Arabic	0	0%
#6 LEP Language	Chinese	0	0%
#7 LEP Language	French, Haitian, or Cajun	0	0%
#8 LEP Language	German or other West Germanic languages	0	0%
#9 LEP Language	Other Asian and Pacific Island languages	0	0%
#10 LEP Language	Other Indo-European languages	0	0%

**Disability**

The disability rate from the 2000 Census is shown in Table II.81.16. Some 19.5 percent of the population was disabled in 2000, or a total of 1,111 persons. The disability rate was highest for those over 65, with 41.2 percent disabled.

<b>Table II.81.16</b> <b>Disability by Age</b> Sheridan County 2000 Census SF3 Data		
Age	Total	
	Disabled Population	Disability Rate
5 to 15	39	4%
16 to 64	563	16.1%
65 and older	509	41.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,111</b>	<b>19.5%</b>

Table II.81.17 shows disability by type in 2000. There were 544 physical disabilities in 2000, some 375 employment disabilities, and 306 go-outside-home disabilities.

<b>Table II.81.17</b> <b>Total Disabilities Tallied: Aged 5 and Older</b> Sheridan County 2000 Census SF3 Data	
Disability Type	Population
Sensory disability	318
Physical disability	544
Mental disability	225
Self-care disability	111
Employment disability	375
Go-outside-home disability	306
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,879</b>



Disability by age, as estimated by the 2016 ACS, is shown in Table II.81.18. The disability rate for females was 16.1 percent, compared to 15.4 percent for males. The disability rate changed precipitously higher with age, with 58.7 percent of those over 75 experiencing a disability.

<b>Table II.81.18</b>						
<b>Disability by Age</b>						
Sheridan County						
2016 Five-Year ACS Data						
Age	Male		Female		Total	
	Disabled Population	Disability Rate	Disabled Population	Disability Rate	Disabled Population	Disability Rate
Under 5	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
5 to 17	60	12.4%	17	3.5%	77	7.9%
18 to 34	13	3.1%	2	0.5%	15	1.8%
35 to 64	94	10.1%	88	9%	182	9.5%
65 to 74	73	23%	110	35%	183	29%
75 or Older	150	59.3%	208	58.3%	358	58.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>15.4%</b>	<b>425</b>	<b>16.1%</b>	<b>815</b>	<b>15.7%</b>

The number of disabilities by type, as estimated by the 2016 ACS, is shown in Table II.81.19. Some 9.9 percent have an ambulatory disability, 5.6 have an independent living disability, and 3.1 percent have a self-care disability.

<b>Table II.81.19</b>		
<b>Total Disabilities Tallied: Aged 5 and Older</b>		
Sheridan County		
2016 Five-Year ACS		
Disability Type	Population with Disability	Percent with Disability
Hearing disability	251	4.8%
Vision disability	130	2.5%
Cognitive disability	212	4.3%
Ambulatory disability	490	9.9%
Self-Care disability	153	3.1%
Independent living disability	223	5.6%

## Education

Education and employment data, as estimated by the 2016 ACS, is presented in Table II.81.20 and Table II.81.21. In 2016, some 2,566 persons were employed and 55 were unemployed. This totaled a labor force of 2,621 persons. The unemployment rate for Sheridan County was estimated to be 2.1 in 2016.

<b>Table II.81.20</b>	
<b>Employment, Labor Force and Unemployment</b>	
Sheridan County	
2016 Five-Year ACS Data	
Employment Status	2016 Five-Year ACS
Employed	2,566
Unemployed	55
<b>Labor Force</b>	<b>2,621</b>
Unemployment Rate	2.1%



In 2016, 89.7 percent of households in Sheridan County had a high school education or greater.

<b>Table II.81.21</b>	
<b>High School or Greater Education</b>	
Sheridan County 2016 Five-Year ACS Data	
<b>Education Level</b>	<b>Households</b>
High School or Greater	1,997
Total Households	2,227
<b>Percent High School or Above</b>	<b>89.7%</b>

As seen in Table II.81.22, 30.4 percent of the population had a high school diploma or equivalent, another 33.8 percent have some college, 16.5 percent have a Bachelor's Degree, and 7 percent of the population had a graduate or professional degree.

<b>Table II.81.22</b>		
<b>Educational Attainment</b>		
Sheridan County 2016 Five-Year ACS Data		
<b>Education Level</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Less Than High School	494	12.2%
High School or Equivalent	1,230	30.4%
Some College or Associates Degree	1,368	33.8%
Bachelor's Degree	668	16.5%
Graduate or Professional Degree	284	7%
<b>Total Population Above 18 years</b>	<b>4,044</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## ECONOMICS


### Labor Force

Table II.81.23, shows the labor force statistics for Sheridan County from 1990 to the present. Over the entire series the lowest unemployment rate occurred in 1990 with a rate of 1.8. The highest level of unemployment occurred during 2009 rising to a rate of 3.9. This compared to a statewide low of 2.3 in 1990 and statewide high of 4.6 in 2009. Over the last year the unemployment rate in Sheridan County increased from 2.7 percent in 2015 to 3 percent in 2016, which compared to a statewide increase to 3.2 percent.

Table II.81.23 Labor Force Statistics Sheridan County 1990 - 2016 BLS Data					
Year	Sheridan County				Statewide Unemployment Rate
	Unemployment	Employment	Labor Force	Unemployment Rate	
1990	57	3,076	3,133	1.8%	2.3%
1991	69	3,036	3,105	2.2%	2.7%
1992	72	2,951	3,023	2.4%	2.9%
1993	66	2,926	2,992	2.2%	2.8%
1994	75	2,980	3,055	2.5%	2.6%
1995	79	2,990	3,069	2.6%	2.6%
1996	90	2,985	3,075	2.9%	2.7%
1997	101	2,929	3,030	3.3%	2.5%
1998	81	2,827	2,908	2.8%	2.6%
1999	88	2,847	2,935	3%	2.8%
2000	97	3,198	3,295	2.9%	2.8%
2001	87	3,113	3,200	2.7%	3.1%
2002	88	3,154	3,242	2.7%	3.6%
2003	89	3,326	3,415	2.6%	3.9%
2004	94	3,192	3,286	2.9%	3.9%
2005	87	3,137	3,224	2.7%	3.8%
2006	86	3,152	3,238	2.7%	3.1%
2007	83	3,025	3,108	2.7%	3%
2008	84	2,977	3,061	2.7%	3.3%
2009	122	2,994	3,116	3.9%	4.6%
2010	100	2,721	2,821	3.5%	4.6%
2011	101	2,730	2,831	3.6%	4.4%
2012	100	2,707	2,807	3.6%	4%
2013	91	2,709	2,800	3.3%	3.8%
2014	73	2,706	2,779	2.6%	3.3%
2015	75	2,657	2,732	2.7%	3%
2016	82	2,666	2,748	3%	3.2%

Diagram II.81.2, shows the employment and labor force for Sheridan County. The difference between the two lines represents the number of unemployed persons. In the most recent year, employment stood at 2,666 persons, with the labor force reaching 2,748, indicating there were a total of 82 unemployed persons.


**Diagram II.81.2**  
**Employment and Labor Force**  
 Sheridan County  
 1990 – 2016 BLS Data



### Unemployment

Diagram II.81.3, shows the unemployment rate for both the State and Sheridan County. During the 1990’s the average rate for Sheridan County was 2.6, which compared to 2.6 statewide. Between 2000 and 2010 the unemployment rate had an average of 2.8, which compared to 3.5 statewide. Since 2010 the average unemployment rate was 3.2. Over the course of the entire period Sheridan County had an average unemployment rate lower than the state, 2.8 percent for Sheridan County, versus 3.3 statewide.

**Diagram II.81.3**  
**Annual Unemployment Rate**  
 Sheridan County  
 1990 – 2016 BLS Data



### Earnings and Employment

The Bureau of Economic Analysis (B.E.A.) produces regional economic accounts which provide a consistent framework for analyzing and comparing individual state and local area economies. Table II.81.24, shows total real earnings by industry for Sheridan County. In the most recent 2016 estimate, the farm industry had the largest total real earnings, with total real earnings reaching 45,452,000 dollars. Between 2015 and 2016 the information industry saw the largest percentage increase, rising by 21.4 percent to 953,000 dollars.



**Table II.81.24**  
**Real Earnings by Industry**  
 Sheridan County  
 BEA Table CA-5N Data (1,000's of 2016 Dollars)

NAICS Categories	2001	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	% Change 15-16
Farm earnings	17,751	26,401	57,639	53,704	52,484	79,658	53,141	45,452	-14.5
Forestry, fishing, related activities, and other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	2,793	2,562	2,303	2,666	2,348	2,706	2,682	2,938	9.6
Manufacturing	2,088	970	1,376	1,298	1,857	6,859	9,662	10,931	13.1
Wholesale trade	7,848	9,637	9,727	9,985	9,258	10,195	8,932	7,605	-14.9
Retail trade	9,739	10,891	10,927	11,819	12,208	10,848	10,906	11,065	1.5
Transportation and warehousing	2,185	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Information	2,201	1,236	1,266	1,113	964	520	785	953	21.4
Finance and insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real estate and rental and leasing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Professional and technical services	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,429	1,467	2.7
Management of companies and enterprises	0	0	0	0	-114	-900	0	-142	0
Administrative and waste services	134	0	0	0	0	0	349	324	-7
Educational services	0	98	80	55	0	0	0	0	0
Health care and social assistance	2,333	2,712	2,415	2,711	2,509	2,423	2,609	2,990	14.6
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0	151	205	180	173	456	272	0	-100
Accommodation and food services	0	2,686	2,601	2,413	2,151	2,327	2,373	0	-100
Other services, except public administration	5,552	5,870	5,836	6,272	5,944	6,003	5,560	5,342	-3.9
Government and government enterprises	28,330	32,407	31,754	30,988	30,531	30,864	31,173	31,333	0.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>92,592</b>	<b>107,920</b>	<b>140,544</b>	<b>139,767</b>	<b>134,925</b>	<b>166,490</b>	<b>142,002</b>	<b>135,555</b>	<b>-4.5</b>



Table II.81.25, shows the total employment by industry for Sheridan County. The most recent estimates show the government and government enterprises industry was the largest employer in Sheridan County, with employment reaching 638 jobs in 2016. Between 2015 and 2016 the management of companies and enterprises industry saw the largest percentage increase, rising by 15.4 percent to 15 jobs.

<b>Table II.81.25</b> <b>Employment by Industry</b> Sheridan County BEA Table CA25 Data									
NAICS Categories	2001	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	% Change 15-16
Farm earnings	818	616	627	601	606	600	656	630	-4
Forestry, fishing, related activities, and other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	120	111	121	124	119	110	102	101	-1
Manufacturing	40	38	40	42	64	110	131	147	12.2
Wholesale trade	225	261	256	241	228	222	211	209	-0.9
Retail trade	409	360	361	355	350	370	359	368	2.5
Transportation and warehousing	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Information	70	31	29	28	29	28	28	27	-3.6
Finance and insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real estate and rental and leasing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Professional and technical services	0	0	0	0	0	0	67	71	6
Management of companies and enterprises	0	0	0	0	12	0	13	15	15.4
Administrative and waste services	42	0	0	0	0	0	37	36	-2.7
Educational services	22	44	17	20	18	21	20	21	5
Health care and social assistance	116	106	114	145	130	122	119	122	2.5
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0	19	27	28	23	22	21	0	-100
Accommodation and food services	0	165	177	160	168	153	156	0	-100
Other services, except public administration	246	210	211	210	220	212	225	228	1.3
Government and government enterprises	802	709	691	685	683	673	648	638	-1.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,521</b>	<b>3,141</b>	<b>3,159</b>	<b>3,149</b>	<b>3,173</b>	<b>3,188</b>	<b>3,240</b>	<b>3,241</b>	<b>0</b>

Table II.81.26, shows the real average earnings per job by industry for Sheridan County. These figures are calculated by dividing the Total Real Earning displayed in Table II.81.24 and Table II.81.25, by Industry. In 2016, the manufacturing industry had the highest average earnings reaching 74,361 dollars. Between 2015 and 2016 the information industry saw the largest percentage increase, rising by 25.9 percent to 35,296 dollars.

**Table II.81.26**  
**Real Earnings Per Job by Industry**  
 Sheridan County  
 BEA Table CA5N and CA25 Data

NAICS Categories	2001	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	% Change 15-16
Farm earnings	21,701	42,859	91,928	89,358	86,607	132,763	81,007	72,146	-10.9
Forestry, fishing, related activities, and other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mining	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Utilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	23,276	23,080	19,034	21,500	19,727	24,596	26,293	29,089	10.6
Manufacturing	52,196	25,525	34,412	30,894	29,009	62,356	73,753	74,361	0.8
Wholesale trade	34,881	36,922	37,996	41,432	40,604	45,921	42,332	36,388	-14
Retail trade	23,812	30,252	30,268	33,292	34,880	29,319	30,378	30,068	-1
Transportation and warehousing	35,241	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Information	31,442	39,883	43,671	39,758	33,250	18,579	28,043	35,296	25.9
Finance and insurance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Real estate and rental and leasing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Professional and technical services	0	0	0	0	0	0	21,322	20,662	-3.1
Management of companies and enterprises	0	0	0	0	-9,469	0	0	-9,467	0
Administrative and waste services	3,200	0	0	0	0	0	9,420	9,000	-4.5
Educational services	0	2,227	4,696	2,754	0	0	0	0	0
Health care and social assistance	20,109	25,582	21,187	18,693	19,301	19,860	21,924	24,508	11.8
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0	7,938	7,591	6,431	7,524	20,714	12,930	0	0
Accommodation and food services	0	16,281	14,694	15,080	12,801	15,207	15,211	0	0
Other services, except public administration	22,567	27,954	27,659	29,865	27,018	28,316	24,712	23,430	-5.2
Government and government enterprises	35,324	45,708	45,954	45,238	44,701	45,861	48,107	49,111	2.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>26,297</b>	<b>34,359</b>	<b>44,490</b>	<b>44,385</b>	<b>42,523</b>	<b>52,224</b>	<b>43,828</b>	<b>41,825</b>	<b>-4.6</b>

Table II.81.27 shows total employment and real personal income for the years of 1969 to 2016. As can be seen in Total Real Personal Income in 2016, comprising all wage and salary earnings, proprietorship income, dividends, interest, rents, and transfer payments, was \$255,131,000 a -1.5 percent change between 2015 and 2016. The Table shows further annual data for the years 1969 through 2016. In 2010, total employment was 3,141 and 3,241 in 2016, which was a percentage change of 0 over this period.



**Table II.81.27**  
**Total Employment and Real Personal Income**  
 Sheridan County  
 BEA Data 1969 Through 2016

Year	1,000s of 2016 Dollars						Per Capita Income	Total Employment	Average Real Earnings Per Job
	Earnings	Social Security Contributions	Residents Adjustments	Dividends, Interest, Rents	Transfer Payments	Personal Income			
1969	81,375	4,099	-690	26,674	13,764	117,024	15,587	3,329	24,444
1970	82,882	3,982	-905	28,082	15,201	121,277	16,707	3,298	25,132
1971	84,693	4,353	-1,066	28,682	16,178	124,134	17,300	3,325	25,470
1972	99,112	4,676	-375	31,093	16,537	141,691	19,148	3,552	27,903
1973	116,589	5,865	-63	34,930	18,218	163,809	21,507	3,630	32,120
1974	91,809	6,363	796	36,297	18,350	140,889	19,193	3,733	24,592
1975	86,294	6,588	1,130	36,795	19,687	137,317	18,504	3,774	22,864
1976	94,150	7,012	1,647	37,221	20,626	146,632	19,252	3,943	23,877
1977	82,854	6,851	2,537	40,089	20,352	138,982	18,129	3,974	20,850
1978	93,029	7,398	3,420	40,492	21,040	150,584	19,677	4,056	22,937
1979	89,951	7,670	3,939	41,588	21,870	149,677	19,882	4,051	22,204
1980	101,788	7,554	4,219	47,735	23,157	169,345	22,421	4,140	24,586
1981	92,320	7,639	4,336	53,739	24,146	166,902	22,033	4,066	22,706
1982	87,530	7,625	3,992	61,481	25,426	170,804	22,353	4,014	21,806
1983	93,937	7,524	3,702	59,742	26,205	176,061	22,921	4,076	23,046
1984	87,701	7,955	4,276	63,771	26,596	174,390	22,819	3,981	22,029
1985	100,117	8,074	5,080	61,550	27,091	185,763	24,955	3,894	25,711
1986	86,443	8,000	5,876	57,559	27,739	169,616	23,252	3,685	23,458
1987	84,400	8,362	6,678	52,505	27,242	162,462	22,388	3,790	22,269
1988	92,673	9,056	6,915	49,090	27,404	167,026	23,154	3,782	24,504
1989	81,083	8,814	7,748	51,938	28,148	160,104	22,840	3,669	22,099
1990	84,067	8,728	10,053	49,730	29,227	164,349	24,566	3,665	22,937
1991	76,057	8,797	10,593	47,534	28,997	154,384	23,449	3,673	20,707
1992	73,501	8,696	11,428	47,841	31,473	155,548	23,700	3,636	20,215
1993	84,284	9,346	12,602	48,470	32,556	168,565	25,767	3,721	22,650
1994	74,499	9,343	13,948	47,870	32,864	159,838	24,403	3,738	19,931
1995	76,201	9,022	14,199	51,419	33,891	166,687	25,428	3,676	20,729
1996	74,759	8,884	14,239	51,115	35,113	166,341	25,334	3,729	20,048
1997	75,979	9,011	14,963	50,766	35,659	168,354	25,837	3,732	20,359
1998	78,351	8,854	16,225	51,977	35,943	173,642	27,367	3,558	22,021
1999	84,884	8,807	16,546	51,559	38,229	182,412	29,019	3,476	24,420
2000	86,561	8,679	16,173	54,628	38,642	187,326	30,385	3,428	25,252
2001	92,592	8,729	16,591	53,613	38,198	192,265	32,071	3,521	26,297
2002	96,590	8,919	18,400	49,578	38,248	193,897	32,343	3,408	28,342
2003	116,618	9,081	20,564	50,022	37,538	215,661	36,915	3,349	34,822
2004	106,245	8,890	21,667	42,432	36,858	198,312	33,894	3,213	33,067
2005	113,484	8,948	22,978	38,700	37,531	203,745	35,583	3,220	35,244
2006	97,756	9,558	25,719	39,064	39,041	192,023	33,993	3,245	30,126
2007	96,820	9,729	28,336	43,595	39,823	198,846	35,559	3,214	30,125
2008	111,896	9,830	31,285	48,610	43,109	225,069	40,922	3,143	35,601
2009	102,713	9,886	30,759	44,381	45,670	213,636	38,857	3,130	32,815
2010	107,920	9,889	31,564	42,951	46,574	219,120	40,168	3,141	34,359
2011	140,544	8,789	32,447	45,564	44,981	254,746	47,325	3,159	44,490
2012	139,767	8,868	32,733	44,776	45,244	253,652	47,411	3,149	44,384
2013	134,925	9,780	31,473	44,784	45,013	246,415	47,061	3,173	42,523
2014	166,490	10,221	31,969	46,827	41,666	276,731	52,581	3,188	52,224
2015	142,002	10,118	31,945	49,012	46,270	259,111	49,695	3,240	43,828
2016	135,555	10,326	32,822	49,698	47,382	255,131	48,745	3,241	41,825



Diagram II.81.4, shows real average earnings per job for Sheridan County from 1990 to 2016. Over this period the average earning per job for Sheridan County was 30,716 dollars, which was lower than the statewide average of 46,130 dollars over the same period.

**Diagram II.81.4**  
**Real Average Earnings Per Job**  
 Sheridan County  
 BEA Data 1990 - 2016





Diagram II.81.5, shows real per capita income in Sheridan County from 1990 to 2016, which is calculated by dividing total personal income from all sources by population. Per capita income is a broader measure of wealth than real average earnings per job, which only captures the working population. Over this period the real per capita income for Sheridan County was 34,755 dollars, which was lower than the statewide average of 40,548 dollars over the same period.

**Diagram II.81.5**  
**Real Per Capita Income**  
 Sheridan County  
 BEA Data 1990 - 2016



**Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages**

The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) produces the Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW), which reports monthly data on employment and quarterly data on wages and number of business establishments. QCEW employment data represent only filled jobs, whether full or part-time, temporary or permanent, by place of work the pay period. Data from this series are from the period of January 2006 through December 2016 and are presented in Table II.81.28 with the 2016 information considered preliminary. Between 2015 and 2016, total annual employment increased from 1,737 persons in 2015 to 1,756 in 2016, a change of 1 percent.

**Table II.81.28**  
**Total Monthly Employment**  
 Sheridan County  
 BLS QCEW Data, 2001–2016(p)

Period	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Jan	1,798	1,799	1,768	1,717	1,681	1,679	1,712	1,680	1,751	1,748	1,708
Feb	1,797	1,802	1,775	1,727	1,670	1,661	1,686	1,647	1,719	1,736	1,728
Mar	1,759	1,780	1,774	1,736	1,670	1,675	1,691	1,672	1,688	1,741	1,750
Apr	1,740	1,804	1,777	1,740	1,693	1,667	1,687	1,665	1,756	1,699	1,778
May	1,820	1,820	1,824	1,771	1,741	1,711	1,728	1,700	1,806	1,745	1,799
Jun	1,904	1,862	1,863	1,827	1,774	1,730	1,786	1,734	1,836	1,776	1,841
Jul	1,844	1,757	1,727	1,769	1,710	1,667	1,721	1,696	1,777	1,747	1,759
Aug	1,827	1,754	1,710	1,746	1,714	1,679	1,687	1,704	1,761	1,743	1,703
Sep	1,849	1,775	1,690	1,743	1,697	1,697	1,687	1,719	1,732	1,714	1,749
Oct	1,798	1,771	1,715	1,729	1,741	1,711	1,701	1,730	1,770	1,749	1,759
Nov	1,826	1,754	1,696	1,735	1,738	1,692	1,704	1,798	1,736	1,720	1,747
Dec	1,818	1,771	1,740	1,716	1,721	1,704	1,691	1,789	1,769	1,725	1,753
<b>Annual</b>	<b>1,815</b>	<b>1,787</b>	<b>1,755</b>	<b>1,746</b>	<b>1,713</b>	<b>1,689</b>	<b>1,707</b>	<b>1,711</b>	<b>1,758</b>	<b>1,737</b>	<b>1,756</b>
% Change	2%	-2%	-2%	-1%	-2%	-1%	1%	(ND)%	3%	-1%	1%

The QCEW also reports average weekly wages, which represents total compensation paid during the calendar quarter, regardless of when services were performed. The BLS QCEW data indicated average weekly wages were \$540 in 2015. In 2016, average weekly wages saw a increase of 2 percent over the prior year, rising to 550 dollars, or by 10 dollars. These data are shown in Table II.81.29.

<b>Table II.81.29</b>						
<b>Average Weekly Wages</b>						
Sheridan County						
BLS QCEW Data, 2001–2016(p)						
<b>Year</b>	<b>First Quarter</b>	<b>Second Quarter</b>	<b>Third Quarter</b>	<b>Fourth Quarter</b>	<b>Annual</b>	<b>% Change</b>
2001	325	328	337	344	333	
2002	341	342	345	351	345	4%
2003	349	352	358	360	355	3%
2004	354	364	360	379	364	3%
2005	351	365	372	394	370	2%
2006	377	394	372	399	386	4%
2007	385	406	419	446	414	7%
2008	415	436	437	474	440	6%
2009	421	442	437	486	446	1%
2010	435	457	462	482	459	3%
2011	453	466	484	496	475	3%
2012	478	467	486	516	487	3%
2013	497	488	510	514	502	3%
2014	513	507	529	562	528	5%
2015	538	522	528	574	540	2%
2016(p)	518	529	565	590	550	2%

Total business establishments reported by the QCEW are displayed in Table II.81.28. Between 2015 and 2016, the total number of business establishments in Sheridan County increased from 271 to 281 establishments.

<b>Table II.81.30</b>						
<b>Number of Business Establishments</b>						
Sheridan County						
BLS QCEW Data, 2001–2016(p)						
<b>Year</b>	<b>First Quarter</b>	<b>Second Quarter</b>	<b>Third Quarter</b>	<b>Fourth Quarter</b>	<b>Annual</b>	<b>% Change</b>
2001	245	242	239	237	241	
2002	241	241	241	242	241	(ND)%
2003	240	241	244	237	241	(ND)%
2004	244	240	241	239	241	(ND)%
2005	240	242	247	241	243	1%
2006	239	244	240	237	240	-1%
2007	238	240	239	234	238	-1%
2008	233	233	234	228	232	-3%
2009	227	226	224	223	225	-3%
2010	221	219	221	223	221	-2%
2011	219	221	223	221	221	(ND)%
2012	251	255	258	259	256	16%
2013	260	267	268	269	266	4%
2014	267	273	264	266	268	1%
2015	268	272	271	273	271	1%
2016	279	278	283	284	281	4%

## Nebraska Department of Revenue

According to the Nebraska Department of Revenue (DOR), returns from taxpayers with adjusted gross incomes (AGIs) of less than \$10,000 decreased by 4.0 percent between 2010 and 2016. Returns from taxpayers with AGIs of \$35,001 to \$50,000 decreased by 0.0 percent over the period. On the other hand, by 2016 there were 204 returns for AGIs of \$100,000 or more. Table II.81.31 presents AGI distribution for the years 1991 through 2016.

<b>Table II.81.31</b>										
<b>Income Tax Returns by Adjusted Gross Income</b>										
Sheridan County										
1991–2016 DOR Data										
<b>Year</b>	<b>Less than \$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,001– \$15,000</b>	<b>\$15,001– \$25,000</b>	<b>\$25,001– \$35,000</b>	<b>\$35,001– \$50,000</b>	<b>\$50,001– \$75,000</b>	<b>\$75,001– \$100,000</b>	<b>\$100,001– \$250,000</b>	<b>More than \$250,000</b>	<b>Total<sup>132</sup></b>
1991	1,088	288	552	325	261	147	12	36	0	2,736
1992	1,076	317	521	345	259	151	12	34	0	2,748
1993	1,020	314	501	332	278	172	0	43	0	2,701
1994	1,102	298	506	317	273	155	41	35	0	2,739
1995	1,097	274	483	311	246	163	28	26	0	2,657
1996	1,062	276	471	337	249	175	39	39	0	2,663
1997	1,025	232	491	316	305	191	58	37	0	2,668
1998	977	258	472	308	283	216	49	40	0	2,619
1999	961	230	446	311	301	240	59	61	0	2,625
2000	911	210	446	315	343	242	71	72	0	2,631
2001	876	213	455	294	322	255	82	64	0	2,573
2002	843	213	445	291	306	241	82	53	0	2,486
2003	818	226	432	311	300	255	77	51	0	2,485
2004	742	234	440	306	297	268	88	69	0	2,462
2005	656	199	357	271	306	273	99	86	11	2,258
2006	589	248	441	295	304	302	0	116	14	2,415
2007	584	214	425	269	281	318	0	112	0	2,356
2008	580	248	430	268	291	319	142	118	10	2,406
2009	539	240	390	268	297	306	137	100	10	2,287
2010	496	186	401	268	319	324	163	113	12	2,282
2011	469	208	371	283	286	352	175	132	15	2,291
2012	446	201	312	264	301	330	182	182	24	2,242
2013	460	183	332	301	279	319	192	163	14	2,243
2014	419	209	326	232	327	335	191	209	24	2,272
2015	437	189	325	301	289	354	181	194	19	2,289
2016	476	201	357	280	319	317	163	194	10	2,317

<sup>132</sup> Income levels with fewer than 10 returns were not disclosed, but are included in the totals. As a result, income levels may not sum to total.



## Poverty

Poverty is the condition of having insufficient resources or income. In its extreme form, poverty is a lack of basic human needs, such as adequate and healthy food, clothing, housing, water, and health services. According to the Census Bureau’s Small Area Income and Poverty Estimates Program, the number of individuals in poverty decreased from 919 in 2010 to 831 in 2016, with the poverty rate reaching 16.2 percent in 2016. This compared to a state poverty rate of 11.3 percent and a national rate of 14 percent in 2016. Table II.81.32 presents poverty data for the county.

Year	Persons in Poverty	Poverty Rate
2000	878	14.9%
2001	854	14.5%
2002	885	15.5%
2003	762	13.3%
2004	754	13.5%
2005	806	14.6%
2006	880	16.2%
2007	812	15.3%
2008	809	15.6%
2009	821	16.1%
2010	919	17.2%
2011	875	16.6%
2012	843	16.2%
2013	823	16%
2014	826	16%
2015	732	14.3%
2016	831	16.2%

The rate of poverty for Sheridan County is shown in Table II.81.33. In 2016, there were an estimated 870 persons living in poverty. This represented a 16.8 percent poverty rate, compared to 13.2 percent poverty in 2000. In 2016, some 6.6 percent of those in poverty were under age 6, and 19.4 percent were 65 or older.

Age	2000 Census		2016 Five-Year ACS	
	Persons in Poverty	% of Total	Persons in Poverty	% of Total
Under 6	110	13.8%	57	6.6%
6 to 17	215	26.9%	308	35.4%
18 to 64	383	47.9%	336	38.6%
65 or Older	92	11.5%	169	19.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>800</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>870</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Poverty Rate</b>	<b>13.2%</b>	.	<b>16.8%</b>	.

## HOUSING

The Census Bureau estimates that the total number of housing units decreased by -1.5 percent in Sheridan County between 2010 and 2016, from 2,936 to 2,893. This compared to an estimated 3.8 percent increase statewide, as shown in Table II.81.34.

Subject	Nebraska	% Growth Since Census	Sheridan County	% Growth Since Census
2000 Census Base	722,656	.	3,011	.
2010 Census	796,793	10.3	2,936	-2.5
July 2011 Estimate	801,068	0.5	2,924	-0.4
July 2012 Estimate	804,586	1	2,918	-0.6
July 2013 Estimate	809,062	1.5	2,911	-0.9
July 2014 Estimate	814,835	2.3	2,905	-1.1
July 2015 Estimate	820,725	3	2,898	-1.3
July 2016 Estimate	827,156	3.8	2,893	-1.5

### Housing Production

The Census Bureau reports building permit authorizations and “per unit”




valuation of building permits by county annually. Single-family construction usually represents most residential development in the county. Single-family building permit authorizations in Sheridan County remained unchanged from 3 authorizations in 2015 to 3 in 2016.

The real value of single-family building permits decreased from \$71,597 in 2015 to \$70,666 in 2016. This compares to an increase in permit value statewide, with values rising from \$203,629 in 2015 to \$206,586 in 2016. Additional details are given in Table II.81.35.


<b>Table II.81.35</b> <b>Building Permits and Valuation</b> Sheridan County Census Bureau Data, 1980–2016								
Year	Authorized Construction in Permit Issuing Areas					Per Unit Valuation, (Real 2016\$)		
	Single-Family	Duplex Units	Tri- and Four-Plex	Multi-Family Units	Total Units	Single-Family Units	Multi-Family Units	
1980	12	0	0	0	12	122,426	0	
1981	8	0	0	0	8	124,885	0	
1982	5	0	0	0	5	99,074	0	
1983	5	0	0	0	5	122,422	0	
1984	0	0	0	12	12	0	50,231	
1985	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1986	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1987	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1988	2	0	0	0	2	54,836	0	
1989	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1990	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1991	2	0	0	0	2	73,090	0	
1992	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1993	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1994	1	4	0	0	5	92,131	0	
1995	10	0	0	0	10	25,428	0	
1996	8	0	0	0	8	75,378	0	
1997	2	0	0	0	2	128,571	0	
1998	3	0	0	0	3	77,256	0	
1999	8	0	0	0	8	118,684	0	
2000	1	0	0	0	1	170,114	0	
2001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2002	2	0	0	0	2	163,806	0	
2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2004	3	0	0	0	3	88,366	0	
2005	3	0	0	0	3	85,615	0	
2006	4	0	0	0	4	121,063	0	
2007	4	0	0	0	4	76,378	0	
2008	1	0	0	0	1	79,355	0	
2009	1	0	0	0	1	78,754	0	
2010	1	0	0	0	1	77,800	0	
2011	2	0	0	0	2	128,346	0	
2012	1	0	0	0	1	74,850	0	
2013	3	0	0	0	3	142,696	0	
2014	1	0	0	0	1	72,367	0	
2015	3	0	0	0	3	71,597	0	
2016	3	0	0	0	3	70,666	0	



**Diagram II.81.6**  
**Single-Family Permits**  
 Sheridan County  
 Census Bureau Data, 1980–2016



**Diagram II.81.7**  
**Total Permits by Unit Type**  
 Sheridan County  
 Census Bureau Data, 1980–2016



## Housing Characteristics

Housing types by unit are shown in Table II.81.36. In 2016, there were 2,901 housing units, down from 3,013 in 2000. Single-family units accounted for 85.7 percent of units in 2016, compared to 83.1 in 2000. Apartment units accounted for 3.1 percent in 2016, compared to 2.8 percent in 2000.

<b>Table II.81.36</b>				
<b>Housing Units by Type</b>				
Sheridan County				
2000 Census SF3 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data				
Unit Type	2000 Census		2016 Five-Year ACS	
	Units	% of Total	Units	% of Total
Single-Family	2,503	83.1%	2,485	85.7%
Duplex	37	1.2%	25	0.9%
Tri- or Four-Plex	38	1.3%	51	1.8%
Apartment	85	2.8%	89	3.1%
Mobile Home	347	11.5%	251	8.7%
Boat, RV, Van, Etc.	3	0.1%	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,013</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,901</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Some 81.1 percent of housing was occupied in 2010, compared to 84.6 percent in 2000. Owner-occupied housing changed -7.8 percent between 2000 and 2010, ending with owner-occupied units representing 69.4 percent of units. Vacant units changed by 19.8 percent, resulting in 556 vacant units in 2010.

<b>Table II.81.37</b>					
<b>Housing Units by Tenure</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Tenure	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00-10
	Units	% of Total	Units	% of Total	
Occupied Housing Units	2,549	84.6%	2,380	81.1%	-6.6%
Owner-Occupied	1,790	70.2%	1,651	69.4%	-7.8%
Renter-Occupied	759	29.8%	729	30.6%	-4%
Vacant Housing Units	464	15.4%	556	18.9%	19.8%
<b>Total Housing Units</b>	<b>3,013</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,936</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-2.6%</b>

Table II.81.38 shows housing units by tenure from 2010 to 2016. By 2016, there were 2,901 housing units. An estimated 71.3 percent were owner-occupied, and 23.2 percent were vacant.

<b>Table II.81.38</b>				
<b>Housing Units by Tenure</b>				
Sheridan County				
2010 Census & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data				
Tenure	2010 Census		2016 Five-Year ACS	
	Units	% of Total	Units	% of Total
Occupied Housing Units	2,380	81.1%	2,227	76.8%
Owner-Occupied	1,651	69.4%	1,588	71.3%
Renter-Occupied	729	30.6%	639	28.7%
Vacant Housing Units	556	18.9%	674	23.2%
<b>Total Housing Units</b>	<b>2,936</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,901</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Households by household size are shown in Table II.81.39. There were a total of 2,380 households in 2010, down from 2,549 in 2000. One person households changed by 6.2 percent between 2000 and 2010, while two person households changed by -4.3 percent. Three and four person households changed by -22.8 and -18.7 respectively, representing 11.7 percent and 9.3 percent of the population in 2010.

<b>Table II.81.39</b>					
<b>Households by Household Size</b>					
Sheridan County					
2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Size	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00–10
	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total	
One Person	755	29.6%	802	33.7%	6.2%
Two Persons	933	36.6%	893	37.5%	-4.3%
Three Persons	360	14.1%	278	11.7%	-22.8%
Four Persons	273	10.7%	222	9.3%	-18.7%
Five Persons	148	5.8%	117	4.9%	-20.9%
Six Persons	50	2%	41	1.7%	-18%
Seven Persons or More	30	1.2%	27	1.1%	-10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,549</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,380</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-6.6%</b>

Households by income is shown in Table II.81.40. Households earning more than \$100,000 per year represented 14.2 percent of households in 2016, compared to 3.1 percent in 2000. Households earning between \$50,000 and \$74,999 represented 21.5 percent of households in 2016, compared to 15 percent in 2000. Meanwhile, households earning less than \$15,000 accounted for 13.5 percent of households in 2016, compared to 23 percent in 2000.

<b>Table II.81.40</b>				
<b>Households by Income</b>				
Sheridan County				
2000 Census SF3 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data				
Income	2000 Census		2016 Five-Year ACS	
	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total
Less than \$15,000	587	23%	301	13.5%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	267	10.5%	133	6%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	234	9.2%	144	6.5%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	435	17%	300	13.5%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	467	18.3%	410	18.4%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	382	15%	478	21.5%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	105	4.1%	144	6.5%
\$100,000 or More	78	3.1%	317	14.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,555</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,227</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Table II.81.41 shows households by year home built. Housing units built between 2000 and 2009, account for 5.3 percent and those built in 2010 or later accounted for 0 percent of households. Households built in the 1970's, 1980's, and 1990's account for 12.7 percent, 7.6 percent, and 8.1, respectively. Housing units built prior to 1939 represented 35.5 percent of households in 2016.

<b>Table II.81.41</b>				
<b>Households by Year Home Built</b>				
Sheridan County				
2000 Census SF3 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data				
<b>Year Built</b>	<b>2000 Census</b>		<b>2016 Five-Year ACS</b>	
	<b>Households</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Households</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
1939 or Earlier	952	37.3%	791	35.5%
1940 to 1949	263	10.3%	149	6.7%
1950 to 1959	366	14.4%	343	15.4%
1960 to 1969	232	9.1%	193	8.7%
1970 to 1979	355	13.9%	283	12.7%
1980 to 1989	231	9.1%	169	7.6%
1990 to 1999	150	5.9%	181	8.1%
2000 to 2009	.	.	118	5.3%
2010 or Later	.	.	0	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,549</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>2,227</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The distribution of unit types by race are shown in Table II.81.42. An estimated 88 percent of white households occupy single-family homes, while 100 percent of black households do. Some 4.1 percent of white households occupy apartments, while 0 percent of black households do. An estimated 42.9 percent of Asian, and 89.1 percent of American Indian households occupy single-family homes.

<b>Table II.81.42</b>							
<b>Distribution of Units in Structure by Race</b>							
Sheridan County							
2016 Five-Year ACS Data							
<b>Unit Type</b>	<b>White</b>	<b>Black</b>	<b>American Indian</b>	<b>Asian</b>	<b>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islanders</b>	<b>Other</b>	<b>Two or More Races</b>
Single-Family	88%	100%	89.1%	42.9%	100%	%	100%
Duplex	0.9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	%	0%
Tri- or Four-Plex	1.3%	0%	6.7%	0%	0%	%	0%
Apartment	4.1%	0%	0%	57.1%	0%	%	0%
Mobile Home	5.7%	0%	4.2%	0%	0%	%	0%
Boat, RV, Van, Etc.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	%	0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The disposition of vacant housing units in 2000 and 2010 are shown in Table II.81.43. An estimated 21.4 percent of vacant units were for rent in 2010, a 3.5 percent change since 2000. In addition, some 6.8 percent of vacant units were for sale, a change of -58.2 percent between 2000 and 2010. “Other” vacant units represented 41.5 percent of vacant units in 2010. This is a change of 53 percent since 2000. “Other” vacant units are not for sale or rent, or otherwise available to the marketplace. These units may be problematic if concentrated in certain areas, and may create a “blighting” effect.



<b>Table II.81.43</b> <b>Disposition of Vacant Housing Units</b> Sheridan County 2000 & 2010 Census SF1 Data					
Disposition	2000 Census		2010 Census		% Change 00-10
	Units	% of Total	Units	% of Total	
For Rent	115	24.8%	119	21.4%	3.5%
For Sale	91	19.6%	38	6.8%	-58.2%
Rented or Sold, Not Occupied	17	3.7%	44	7.9%	158.8%
For Seasonal, Recreational, or Occasional Use	90	19.4%	124	22.3%	37.8%
For Migrant Workers	0	0%	0	0%	%
Other Vacant	151	32.5%	231	41.5%	53%
<b>Total</b>	<b>464</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>19.8%</b>

The disposition of vacant units between 2010 and 2016 are shown in Table II.81.44. By 2016, for rent units accounted for 12.6 percent of vacant units, while for sale units accounted for 5.5 percent. "Other" vacant units accounted for 58 percent of vacant units, representing a total of 391 "other" vacant units.

<b>Table II.81.44</b> <b>Disposition of Vacant Housing Units</b> Sheridan County 2010 Census & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data				
Disposition	2010 Census		2016 Five-Year ACS	
	Units	% of Total	Units	% of Total
For Rent	119	21.4%	85	12.6%
For Sale	38	6.8%	37	5.5%
Rented Not Occupied	7	1.3%	5	0.7%
Sold Not Occupied	37	6.7%	3	0.4%
For Seasonal, Recreational, or Occasional Use	124	22.3%	153	22.7%
For Migrant Workers	0	0%	0	0%
Other Vacant	231	41.5%	391	58%
<b>Total</b>	<b>556</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Department of Property Assessment Division

The Department of Property Assessment Division (PAD) provided a database of residential property transactions over the last 19 years. Property transactions are primarily related to existing buildings, with very few for new construction. During fiscal years 1999 through 2017, there were 1,118 property transactions in Sheridan County. Of these, 1,025 were for single-family homes during this 19-year period, as shown in Table II.81.45.

<b>Table II.81.45</b>						
<b>Residential Property Transactions</b>						
Sheridan County						
Fiscal Years 1999–2017 PAD Data						
<b>Year</b>	<b>Single-Family</b>	<b>Mobile Home</b>	<b>Duplex</b>	<b>Townhome</b>	<b>Missing</b>	<b>Total</b>
1999	58	3	0	0	0	61
2000	61	9	0	2	0	72
2001	52	5	0	0	2	59
2002	68	5	0	1	0	74
2003	66	1	0	0	1	68
2004	71	3	0	0	5	79
2005	57	3	0	0	2	62
2006	40	3	0	0	1	44
2007	57	1	0	0	2	60
2008	47	6	0	1	1	55
2009	58	3	0	1	0	62
2010	36	3	0	0	0	39
2011	51	3	0	0	0	54
2012	38	0	0	1	0	39
2013	54	4	0	1	0	59
2014	64	5	1	0	0	70
2015	61	8	0	0	1	70
2016	25	1	0	0	0	26
2017	61	4	0	0	0	65
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,025</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>1,118</b>

The PAD data also include descriptions of the quality of the building, which refers to the grade of materials and workmanship used in the original construction. Of the 542 single-family home property transactions for units built before 1930, 6.5 percent of units were of low quality and 55.2 percent were of fair quality. Conversely, of the 2 homes built from 2001 through 2010, 0 percent of units were of low quality and 0 percent of fair quality. Table II.81.46 provides details on the quality of these single-family residential dwellings by vintage of construction.

<b>Table II.81.46</b>										
<b>Single-Family Homes by Year Built and Quality of Materials and Workmanship</b>										
Sheridan County										
Fiscal Years 1999–2017 PAD Data										
<b>Quality</b>	<b>Before 1930</b>	<b>1931-1960</b>	<b>1961-1970</b>	<b>1971-1980</b>	<b>1981-1990</b>	<b>1991-2000</b>	<b>2001-2010</b>	<b>2011-2017</b>	<b>Missing</b>	<b>Total</b>
Low	35	11	3	2	1	1	0	0	1	54
Fair	299	101	11	38	8	5	0	0	9	471
Average	200	128	65	44	13	6	0	0	6	462
Good	8	9	7	4	0	3	2	0	3	36
Very Good	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Excellent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missing	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1,025</b>

In regard to the current condition of residential dwellings, of the same 542 single-family homes built before 1930, 22.0 percent of the homes were worn out or badly worn, and 75.8 percent were in average condition. Table II.81.47 provides details about the condition of single-family residential dwellings by year built.



**Table II.81.47**  
**Single-Family Homes by Year Built and Condition**  
 Sheridan County  
 Fiscal Years 1999–2017 PAD Data

Condition	Before 1930	1931-1960	1961-1970	1971-1980	1981-1990	1991-2000	2001-2010	2011-2017	Missing	Total
Worn Out	10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	11
Badly Worn	109	27	4	2	1	0	0	0	3	146
Average	411	219	74	73	20	7	2	0	13	819
Good	9	5	8	12	1	6	0	0	1	42
Very Good	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Excellent	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	4
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>251</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>1,025</b>

**Housing Costs**

Between 2010 and 2017, the average price of an existing single-family home changed from \$40,914 to \$65,771, a total increase of 60.8 percent, as shown in Table II.81.48.

Single-family home prices from the PAD database also indicated a general increase in average home prices and average floor area for newer homes. The average home price for single-family homes in Sheridan County ranged from \$31,700 for homes built before 1930 to \$251,000 for homes built from 2001 to 2010.<sup>133</sup> Homes built from 2001 through 2010 were also larger, averaging 2,100 square feet per unit. Table II.81.49, provides additional details about single-family homes.

**Table II.81.48**  
**Average Sales Price of Single-Family Homes**  
 Sheridan County  
 Fiscal Years 1999–2017 PAD Data

Fiscal Year	Average Sales Price (\$)
1999	43,269
2000	30,937
2001	38,591
2002	39,244
2003	33,601
2004	43,633
2005	46,723
2006	44,663
2007	47,494
2008	42,012
2009	46,322
2010	40,914
2011	44,020
2012	36,847
2013	59,985
2014	54,915
2015	65,779
2016	58,765
2017	65,771
<b>Average</b>	<b>46,411</b>

**Table II.81.49**  
**Single-Family Homes by Year Built, Average Sales Price, Average Floor Area, and Price Per Square Foot**  
 Sheridan County  
 Fiscal Years 1999–2017 PAD Data

Year Built	Average Sales Price (\$)	Average Floor Area (Sq. Ft.)	Price per Sq. Ft. <sup>134</sup> (\$)
Before 1930	31,700	1,152	27.52
1931-1960	45,007	1,203	37.41
1961-1970	75,707	1,415	53.5
1971-1980	85,999	1,534	56.07
1981-1990	108,266	1,863	58.11
1991-2000	89,300	1,451	61.52
2001-2010	251,000	2,100	119.52
2011-2017	0	0	0
<b>Average</b>	<b>46,411</b>	<b>1,243</b>	<b>37.34</b>

<sup>133</sup> When a manufactured home is placed on a permanent foundation, the Assessor considers the property a single-family dwelling. Hence, these property transactions are seen even though a single-family new construction permit was probably not issued for the manufactured home.

<sup>134</sup> Price per sq. ft. may not compute precisely due to rounding-off of sales price and floor area.

### Housing Problems

Households are classified as having housing problems if they face overcrowding, incomplete plumbing or kitchen facilities, or cost burdens. Overcrowding is defined as having from 1.1 to 1.5 people per room per residence, with severe overcrowding defined as having more than 1.5 people per room. Households with overcrowding are shown in Table II.81.50. In 2016, an estimated 1.6 percent of households were overcrowded, and an additional 0.5 percent were severely overcrowded.

Table II.81.50 Overcrowding and Severe Overcrowding Sheridan County 2000 Census SF3 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data							
Data Source	No Overcrowding		Overcrowding		Severe Overcrowding		Total
	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total	
<b>Owner</b>							
2000 Census	1,752	98.3%	27	1.5%	4	0.2%	1,783
2016 Five-Year ACS	1,577	99.3%	11	0.7%	0	0%	1,588
<b>Renter</b>							
2000 Census	731	95.4%	27	3.5%	8	1%	766
2016 Five-Year ACS	603	94.4%	24	3.8%	12	1.9%	2,227
<b>Total</b>							
2000 Census	2,483	97.4%	54	2.1%	12	0.5%	2,549
2016 Five-Year ACS	2,180	97.9%	35	1.6%	12	0.5%	2,227

Incomplete plumbing and kitchen facilities are another indicator of potential housing problems. According to the Census Bureau, a housing unit is classified as lacking complete plumbing facilities when any of the following are not present: piped hot and cold water, a flush toilet, and a bathtub or shower. Likewise, a unit is categorized as deficient when any of the following are missing from the kitchen: a sink with piped hot and cold water, a range or cook top and oven, and a refrigerator.

There were a total of 9 households with incomplete plumbing facilities in 2016, representing 0.4 percent of households in Sheridan County. This is compared to 0.3 percent of households lacking complete plumbing facilities in 2000.

Table II.81.51 Households with Incomplete Plumbing Facilities Sheridan County 2000 Census SF3 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data		
Households	2000 Census	2016 Five-Year ACS
With Complete Plumbing Facilities	2,541	2,218
Lacking Complete Plumbing Facilities	8	9
<b>Total Households</b>	<b>2,549</b>	<b>2,227</b>
<b>Percent Lacking</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>

There were 39 households lacking complete kitchen facilities in 2016, compared to 8 households in 2000. This was a change from 0.3 percent of households in 2000 to 1.8 percent in 2016.



<b>Table II.81.52</b> <b>Households with Incomplete Kitchen Facilities</b> Sheridan County 2000 Census SF3 & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data		
Households	2000 Census	2016 Five-Year ACS
With Complete Kitchen Facilities	2,541	2,188
Lacking Complete Kitchen Facilities	8	39
<b>Total Households</b>	<b>2,549</b>	<b>2,227</b>
<b>Percent Lacking</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>1.8%</b>

Cost burden is defined as gross housing costs that range from 30.0 to 50.0 percent of gross household income; severe cost burden is defined as gross housing costs that exceed 50.0 percent of gross household income. For homeowners, gross housing costs include property taxes, insurance, energy payments, water and sewer service, and refuse collection. If the homeowner has a mortgage, the determination also includes principal and interest payments on the mortgage loan. For renters, this figure represents monthly rent and selected electricity and natural gas energy charges.

In Sheridan County, 11.3 percent of households had a cost burden and 7.4 percent had a severe cost burden. Some 11.4 percent of renters were cost burdened, and 10 percent were severely cost burdened. Owner-occupied households without a mortgage had a cost burden rate of 9.5 percent and a severe cost burden rate of 1.9 percent. Owner occupied households with a mortgage had a cost burden rate of 14 percent, and severe cost burden at 13.8 percent.

<b>Table II.81.53</b> <b>Cost Burden and Severe Cost Burden by Tenure</b> Sheridan County 2000 Census & 2016 Five-Year ACS Data									
Data Source	Less Than 30%		31%-50%		Above 50%		Not Computed		Total
	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total	Households	% of Total	
<b>Owner With a Mortgage</b>									
2000 Census	363	79.4%	50	10.9%	42	9.2%	2	0.4%	457
2016 Five-Year ACS	428	72.2%	83	14%	82	13.8%	0	0%	593
<b>Owner Without a Mortgage</b>									
2000 Census	566	90.3%	39	6.2%	12	1.9%	10	1.6%	627
2016 Five-Year ACS	871	87.5%	95	9.5%	19	1.9%	10	1%	995
<b>Renter</b>									
2000 Census	317	49.7%	78	12.2%	78	12.2%	165	25.9%	638
2016 Five-Year ACS	305	47.7%	73	11.4%	64	10%	197	30.8%	639
<b>Total</b>									
2000 Census	1,246	72.4%	167	9.7%	132	7.7%	177	10.3%	1,722
2016 Five-Year ACS	1,604	72%	251	11.3%	165	7.4%	207	9.3%	2,227



### Housing Problems by Income

Table II.81.54, shows the HUD calculated Median Family Income (MFI) for a family of four for Sheridan County. As can be seen in 2017 the MFI was \$50,200, which compared to \$68,200 for the State of Nebraska.

Table II.81.55 shows Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data for housing problems by tenure and income. As can be seen there are a total of 185 owner-occupied and 95 renter-occupied households with a cost burden of greater than 30 percent and less than 50 percent. An additional 85 owner-occupied and 58 renter-occupied households had a cost burden greater than 50 percent of income. Overall there are 1,770 households without a housing problem.

<b>Table II.81.54</b> <b>Median Family Income</b> Sheridan County 2000–2017 HUD MFI		
Year	MFI	State of Nebraska MFI
2000	34,800	50,400
2001	37,100	53,400
2002	37,600	55,100
2003	40,400	55,400
2004	41,500	56,300
2005	42,100	57,400
2006	43,500	59,400
2007	42,400	58,200
2008	43,600	59,800
2009	45,400	62,000
2010	45,800	62,600
2011	43,000	63,500
2012	43,600	64,400
2013	45,200	64,600
2014	46,000	66,000
2015	51,800	66,800
2016	46,000	66,500
2017	50,200	68,200

**Table II.81.55**  
**Housing Problems by Income and Tenure**  
 Sheridan County  
 2010–2014 HUD CHAS Data

Housing Problem	Less Than 30% MFI	30% - 50% MFI	50% - 80% MFI	80% - 100% MFI	Greater than 100% MFI	Total
<b>Owner-Occupied</b>						
Lacking complete plumbing or kitchen facilities	0	4	0	0	4	8
Severely Overcrowded with > 1.51 people per room (and complete kitchen and plumbing)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overcrowded - With 1.01-1.5 people per room (and none of the above problems)	0	0	4	4	4	12
Housing cost burden greater than 50% of income (and none of the above problems)	60	15	0	0	10	85
Housing cost burden greater than 30% but less than 50% of income (and none of the above problems)	55	40	45	15	30	185
Zero/negative income (and none of the above problems)	15	0	0	0	0	15
has none of the 4 housing problems	15	95	265	200	695	1,270
<b>Total</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>314</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>743</b>	<b>1,575</b>
<b>Renter-Occupied</b>						
Lacking complete plumbing or kitchen facilities	10	10	0	4	0	24
Severely Overcrowded with > 1.51 people per room (and complete kitchen and plumbing)	0	0	4	0	0	4
Overcrowded - With 1.01-1.5 people per room (and none of the above problems)	10	4	4	0	4	22
Housing cost burden greater than 50% of income (and none of the above problems)	50	4	0	0	4	58
Housing cost burden greater than 30% but less than 50% of income (and none of the above problems)	30	40	15	10	0	95
Zero/negative income (and none of the above problems)	20	0	0	0	0	20
has none of the 4 housing problems	35	90	140	95	140	500
<b>Total</b>	<b>155</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>109</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>723</b>
<b>Total</b>						
Lacking complete plumbing or kitchen facilities	10	14	0	4	4	32
Severely Overcrowded with > 1.51 people per room (and complete kitchen and plumbing)	0	0	4	0	0	4
Overcrowded - With 1.01-1.5 people per room (and none of the above problems)	10	4	8	4	8	34
Housing cost burden greater than 50% of income (and none of the above problems)	110	19	0	0	14	143
Housing cost burden greater than 30% but less than 50% of income (and none of the above problems)	85	80	60	25	30	280
Zero/negative income (and none of the above problems)	35	0	0	0	0	35
has none of the 4 housing problems	50	185	405	295	835	1,770
<b>Total</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>891</b>	<b>2,298</b>

## Home Mortgage Loans

The FFEIC The Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) was enacted by Congress in 1975. Data collected under the HMDA provide a comprehensive portrait of home loan activity, including information pertaining to home purchase loans, home improvement loans, and refinancing. For the analysis only owner-occupied originated loans for single-family units were considered. As can be seen in Table II.81.56, of the 34 loans in 2016, 18 loans were for Home Purchases, 4 were for Home Improvement and 12 were for refinancing.

<b>Table II.81.56</b> <b>Owner-Occupied Single-Family Home Loans by Loan Type</b> Sheridan County 2008 – 2016 HMDA Data				
Year	Home Purchase	Home Improvement	Refinancing	Total
2008	16	12	16	44
2009	17	11	21	49
2010	11	14	15	40
2011	21	14	28	63
2012	19	4	23	46
2013	26	11	13	50
2014	20	10	13	43
2015	15	8	20	43
2016	18	4	12	34

Table II.81.57 shows the average loan value by loan type. In 2008, average home purchase loans was \$70,125 and \$68,211 in 2012 and \$93,667 in 2016. Overall, average loans were \$114,386 in 2008 and \$129,235 in 2016.

<b>Table II.81.57</b> <b>Owner-Occupied Single-Family Home Loans by Average Loan Amount</b> Sheridan County 2008 – 2016 HMDA Data				
Year	Home Purchase	Home Improvement	Refinancing	Total
2008	\$70,125	\$34,000	\$218,938	\$114,386
2009	\$64,588	\$23,455	\$173,286	\$101,939
2010	\$62,455	\$37,143	\$194,800	\$103,225
2011	\$68,333	\$18,786	\$114,929	\$78,032
2012	\$68,211	\$106,750	\$75,478	\$75,196
2013	\$66,615	\$112,636	\$150,308	\$98,500
2014	\$78,700	\$23,600	\$131,308	\$81,791
2015	\$83,600	\$11,625	\$142,150	\$97,442
2016	\$93,667	\$83,000	\$198,000	\$129,235

Table II.81.58 shows the total volume of owner-occupied single-family loans. In 2008, average home purchase loans was \$1,122,000 and \$1,296,000 in 2012 and \$1,686,000 in 2016. Overall, average loans were \$5,033,000 in 2008 and \$4,394,000 in 2016.

<b>Table II.81.58</b>				
<b>Total Volume of Owner-Occupied Single-Family Loans</b>				
Sheridan County				
2008 – 2016 HMDA Data				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Home Purchase</b>	<b>Home Improvement</b>	<b>Refinancing</b>	<b>Total</b>
2008	1,122,000	408,000	3,503,000	5,033,000
2009	1,098,000	258,000	3,639,000	4,995,000
2010	687,000	520,000	2,922,000	4,129,000
2011	1,435,000	263,000	3,218,000	4,916,000
2012	1,296,000	427,000	1,736,000	3,459,000
2013	1,732,000	1,239,000	1,954,000	4,925,000
2014	1,574,000	236,000	1,707,000	3,517,000
2015	1,254,000	93,000	2,843,000	4,190,000
2016	1,686,000	332,000	2,376,000	4,394,000

### Survey of Rental Properties

From September through December of 2017, a telephone survey was conducted with landlords and rental property managers throughout Nebraska. Table II.81.59 presents some basic statistics about the completed surveys from this and other surveys conducted from 2002 through 2017 in Sheridan County. The number of completed surveys increased from 5 in 2016 to 7 in 2017. Between 2016 and 2017 the vacancy rate for all units increased by 2.3 percentage points and was at 4.5 percent in 2017.

Table II.81.60 shows the amount of total and vacant units with their associated vacancy rates. At the time of the survey, there were an estimated 3 single-family units in Sheridan County, with 3 of them available. This

translates into a vacancy rate of 100 percent in Sheridan County, which compares to a single-family vacancy rate of 3.9 percent for the State of Nebraska. There were 57 apartment units reported in the survey, with 2 of them available, which resulted in a vacancy rate of 3.5 percent. This compares to a statewide vacancy rate of 4.1 percent for apartment units across the state. The average vacancy rate for all units types over the last five years was 4.1 percent.

<b>Table II.81.59</b>				
<b>Survey of Rental Properties</b>				
Sheridan County				
2002–2017 Survey of Rental Properties				
<b>Year</b>	<b>Completed Surveys</b>	<b>Total Units</b>	<b>Vacancy Rate</b>	<b>Absorption Rate</b>
2002	0	0	0	
2003	2	52	3.8	46.1
2004	6	83	8.4	39.5
2005	8	77	2.6	26.3
2006	7	120	5.8	38.4
2007	6	123	2.4	23.5
2008	7	83	7.2	17.7
2009	7	98	1	27.4
2010	6	66	10.6	19.8
2011	5	86	3.5	14.8
2012	7	110	1.8	26.8
2013	5	87	3.5	14.5
2014	7	109	6.4	60
2015	6	109	4.6	23.3
2016	5	91	2.2	30
2017	7	112	4.5	30

<b>Table II.81.60</b> <b>Rental Vacancy Survey by Type</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties				
Place	Total Units	Vacant Units	Vacancy Rate	5-Year Vacancy Rate Average
Single-Family	3	3	100%	24.8%
Apartments	57	2	3.5%	3.6%
Mobile Homes	5	0	0%	0%
"Other" Units	0	0	0%	.
Don't Know	47	0	0%	4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>4.1%</b>

Table II.81.61, reports units by number of bedrooms. Four bedroom units were the most common type of reported single-family unit, with 0 units. The most common apartment units were one bedroom units, with 43 units.

<b>Table II.81.61</b> <b>Rental Units by Number of Bedrooms</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties						
Number of Bedrooms	Single-Family Units	Apartment Units	Mobile Homes	"Other" Units	Don't Know	Total
Efficiency	0	0	0	0	.	0
One	0	43	0	0	.	43
Two	0	12	3	0	.	15
Three	0	2	2	0	.	4
Four	0	0	0	0	.	0
Don't Know	3	0	0	0	47	50
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>112</b>

Table II.81.62 displays the vacancy rate of single-family units by the number of bedrooms. Four bedroom units were the most common type of reported single-family unit, which had a vacancy rate of percent.

<b>Table II.81.62</b> <b>Single-Family Units by Number of Bedrooms</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties			
Number of Bedrooms	Units	Available Units	Vacancy Rates
Efficiency	0	0	%
One	0	1	%
Two	0	0	%
Three	0	0	%
Four	0	1	%
Don't know	3	1	33.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100%</b>

Table II.81.63 displays the vacancy rate of apartment units by the number of bedrooms. The most common apartment units were one bedroom units, which had a vacancy rate of 4.7 percent.





<b>Table II.81.63</b> <b>Apartment Units by Number of Bedrooms</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties			
Number of Bedrooms	Units	Available Units	Vacancy Rates
Efficiency	0	0	%
One	43	2	4.7%
Two	12	0	0%
Three	2	0	0%
Four	0	0	%
Don't know	0	0	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5%</b>

Average market-rate rents by unit type are shown in Table II.81.64. Not all respondents were able to disclose the rental amounts for their units, so there may be some statistical aberrations in the computed rental rates, but generally those units with more bedrooms had higher rents.

<b>Table II.81.64</b> <b>Average Market Rate Rents by Number of Bedrooms</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties					
Number of Bedrooms	Single-Family Units	Apartment Units	Mobile Homes	"Other" Units	Total
Efficiency	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
One	\$	\$427.7	\$	\$	\$427.7
Two	\$	\$551	\$350	\$	\$484
Three	\$	\$679	\$450	\$	\$564.5
Four	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Don't know	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$480.2</b>	<b>\$400</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$460.1</b>

Table II.81.65 shows vacancy rates for single-family units by average rental rates for Sheridan County. The most common rent for single-family units was dollars and units in this price range had a vacancy rate of percent.

<b>Table II.81.65</b> <b>Single-Family Market Rate Rents by Vacancy Status</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties			
Average Rents	Single-Family Units	Available Single-Family Units	Vacancy Rate
Less Than \$500	0	0	%
\$500 to \$750	0	0	%
\$750 to \$1,000	0	0	%
\$1,000 to \$1,250	0	0	%
\$1,250 to \$1,500	0	0	%
Above \$1,500	0	0	%
Missing			%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>100%</b>



The average rent and availability of apartment units is displayed in Table II.81.66. The most common rent for apartments was \$500 to \$750 dollars and the units in this price range had a vacancy rate of 0 percent.

<b>Table II.81.66</b> <b>Apartment Market Rate Rents by Vacancy Status</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties			
Average Rents	Apartment Units	Available Apartment Units	Vacancy Rate
Less Than \$500	20	2	10%
\$500 to \$750	37	0	0%
\$750 to \$1,000	0	0	%
\$1,000 to \$1,250	0	0	%
\$1,250 to \$1,500	0	0	%
Above \$1,500	0	0	%
Missing	0	0	%
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3.5%</b>

Respondents were asked if utilities are included in the rent and, as shown in Table II.81.67, 2 respondents, or 66.7 percent, included some sort of utility in the rent.

<b>Table II.81.67</b> <b>Are there any utilities included with the rent?</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties	
Period	Respondent
Yes	2
No	1
<b>% Offering Utilities</b>	<b>66.7%</b>

The type of utility included in the rent is shown in Table II.81.68. There were 1 respondent who included electricity, 0 respondents who included natural gas, 3 respondents who included water and sewer and 3 respondents included trash collection in the rent.

<b>Table II.81.68</b> <b>Which utilities are included with the rent?</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties	
Type of Utility Provided	Respondent
Electricity	1
Natural Gas	0
Water/Sewer	3
Trash Collection	3

Table II.81.69 shows the number of survey respondents who keep a waiting list. As can be seen, 2 respondents said they keep a waitlist, with an estimated 8 persons on the wait list.

<b>Table II.81.69</b> <b>Do you keep a waiting list?</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties	
Period	Respondent
Yes	2
No	2
<b>Waitlist Size</b>	<b>8</b>

Respondents were also asked how they would rate the need for renovating existing units. As shown in Table II.81.70 most respondents indicated there was moderate need for the renovation of existing family units and moderate need for the renovation of existing apartment units.

<b>Table II.81.70</b> <b>How would you rate the need for renovation of existing units in the city?</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties				
Need	Single-Family	Apartments	Mobile Homes	Other Units
No Need	0	0		
Low Need	0	0		
Moderate Need	1	1	1	1
High Need	0	0		
Extreme Need	1	1	1	1

Respondents were also asked how they would rate the need for the constructing new units. As shown in Table II.81.71 most respondents indicated there was extreme need for the construction of new family units and extreme need for the construction of new apartment units.

<b>Table II.81.71</b> <b>How would you rate the need for construction of new units in the city?</b> Sheridan County 2017 Survey of Rental Properties				
Need	Single-Family	Apartments	Mobile Homes	Other Units
No Need	0	0		
Low Need	0	0		
Moderate Need	0	0		
High Need	0	0		
Extreme Need	1	1	1	1



